

Carson Slater

Dr. Harvill

STA 5353

Homework 7

1) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Compare CI's for μ assuming

a) σ^2 known.

A $1-\alpha$ CI for μ when σ^2 is known is

$$1-\alpha = P(U(\mu) < \frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < L(\mu))$$

$$= P(-z_{\alpha/2} < \frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < z_{\alpha/2})$$

$$= P\left(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

So the shortest $1-\alpha$ CI for μ is:

$$\left(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

from a prior homework result. So the expected length is

$$E\left[\bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} - \left(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] = E\left[2z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

$$= 2z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

b) When σ^2 unknown, we estimate σ^2 with S^2 .

A $1-\alpha$ CI for μ when σ^2 is known is

$$1-\alpha = P(U(\mu) < \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} < L(\mu))$$

Pivotal quantity from in-class slides follows a t_{n-1} .

$$= P(-t_{\alpha/2, n-1} < \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} < t_{\alpha/2, n-1})$$

$$= P(\bar{x} - t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \bar{x} + t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}})$$

So the shortest $1-\alpha$ CI for μ is (σ^2 unknown)

$$(\bar{x} - t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

So the exact length is

$$E[\bar{x} + t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} - (\bar{x} - t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}})] = E[2t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}]$$

$$= 2t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{E[S]}{\sqrt{n}}, \text{ where } E[S] \text{ is found to be:}$$

$$E[S] = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n-1}} E[\sqrt{Y}] \quad (\text{such that } Y \sim \chi_{n-1}^2, Y = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2})$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n-1}} \int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{y} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-y/2} dy$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n-1}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) 2^{\frac{1}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) 2^{\frac{n}{2}}} y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-y/2} dy$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2}{n-1}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\text{Length}(CI)] = 2t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2}{n(n-1)}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, they converge to be the same interval but the σ^2 known interval is smaller otherwise.

2) X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with pdfs

$$f_{X_i}(x|\theta) = \exp\{i\theta - x\} \mathbb{I}_{[i\theta, \infty)}(x) = \exp\{-(x - \theta i)\} \mathbb{I}_{[\theta i, \infty)}(x)$$

a) To find a sufficient statistic for θ , we can write the joint pdf of the sample:

$$f(\underline{x}|\theta) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta i)\right\} \mathbb{I}_{[\theta, \infty)}\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{i}\right)$$

So then $f_{\underline{x}_i}(\underline{x}|\theta) = g_{\underline{x}_i}(\underline{x}|\theta) h_{\underline{x}_i}(\underline{x})$, where

$$g(\underline{x}|\theta) = e^{n\theta} \mathbb{I}_{[\theta, \infty)}\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{i}\right)$$

$$h(\underline{x}) = e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}$$

notice:
how I divided
by i

So then $T(\underline{x}) = \frac{X_{(n)}}{i}$ is sufficient for θ by the Factorization Theorem.

b) Since $\frac{X_{(n)}}{i}$ does not depend on θ ,

The pdf of $X_{(n)}$ is $f_{X_{(n)}}(x) = n e^{-n(x - \theta i)} \mathbb{I}_{[\theta i, \infty)}(x)$. Now

consider the transformation $Y = \frac{X_{(n)}}{i}$. Since θ is a location parameter the pivotal quantity will be

$$Z = Y - \frac{\theta}{i} = \frac{X_{(n)} - \theta}{i} \Rightarrow iZ = X_{(n)} - \theta$$

$$\text{So then } \frac{x}{z} = \int_0^a \underbrace{nie^{-niz}} dz = -e^{-niz} \Big|_0^a = 1 - \underbrace{e^{-nia}}_{C_{\alpha,0}}$$

$$\Rightarrow -nia = \log\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{z}\right) \Rightarrow a = -\frac{1}{in} \log\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{z}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} = \int_b^{\infty} n e^{-nz} = -e^{-nz} \Big|_b^{\infty} = e^{-nb}$$

$$\Rightarrow -nb = \log \frac{\alpha}{2} \Rightarrow b = -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)$$

Hence, the pivotal interval (by the pivot method) would

be $\left\{ \theta = Y + \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right) < \theta < Y + \frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \right\}$. So the $1-\alpha$

confidence interval is

$$\left(Y + \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right), Y + \frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \right).$$

* I understand the answer key has something different; I have reviewed my mistakes and I kept my original solution for the sake of my own records so I could learn from my mistakes, especially since this is for a completion grade.

3) Consider $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Consider the test $H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$ and $H_a: \lambda > \lambda_0$. Now finding the UMA, we must find the UMP level α test.

First, we have that the joint pdf of \underline{X} is $f(\underline{x}|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} I_{\{0,1,2,\dots\}}(x_i)$
 $= \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} = g(\underline{x}|\lambda)h(\underline{x})$, where $g(\underline{x}|\lambda) = \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\lambda}$ and $h(\underline{x}) = \frac{I_{\{0,1,2,\dots\}}(x_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$.

By the factorization theorem, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for λ .

Now consider $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} : i=1,2$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{g(t|\lambda_2)}{g(t|\lambda_1)} = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^t \exp^{-n(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}$$

Since this is an increasing function of t , then the poisson family has MLR. So, by the Karlin-Rubin Theorem, the test that rejects H_0 if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t \geq k(\lambda_0)$ is a UMP level α test,

where $k(\lambda_0)$ satisfies $\alpha \geq P_{\lambda_0}(t \geq k(\lambda_0) + 1 | \lambda = \lambda_0)$.

So the acceptance region of this test is

$$A(\lambda_0) = \{t : t > k(\lambda_0)\}. \text{ Since } t \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$$

we can choose $k(\lambda_0)$ such that $k(\lambda_0) = \text{Poisson}_{n\lambda_0, \alpha}$.

So then by the Gamma-Poisson relationship,

$$\alpha \geq P(t \geq k(\lambda_0) + 1) = P(W \leq 2n\lambda_0), \text{ where } W \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{2n\lambda_0 + 2}{2}, 2\right)$$

So then $W \sim \chi^2_{2t+2}$. then $A(\lambda_0) = \left\{ t : \chi^2_{2t+2, 1-\alpha} > 2n\lambda_0 \right\}$.

Inverting this, we get the confidence region

$$\left\{ \lambda : 0 < \lambda < \frac{\chi^2_{2t+2, 1-\alpha}}{2n} \right\}.$$

4) Given $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, and we have that

$$\pi(\lambda | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha+1} e^{-1/\beta\lambda}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \infty.$$

Then, in homework 6 we found the $1-\alpha$ credible set A , satisfying

$$P(\lambda \in A | y) = \int_A \pi(\lambda | y) d\lambda.$$

which is,

$$A = \left\{ \lambda : 2(\gamma - \beta) \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}} < \lambda < 2(\gamma - \beta) \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\}.$$

We found the posterior density to be

$$\pi(\lambda | y) = \frac{(\gamma - \beta)^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \lambda^{\alpha-n+1} e^{-\lambda(\gamma - \beta)}$$

which implies $\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha-n+1, \gamma - \beta)$. This is a unimodal density, so we can apply Corollary 9.3.10 in Casella & Berger. So then the HPD region is the region that satisfies

$$1 - \alpha = \int_{L(\lambda)}^{U(\lambda)} \pi(\lambda | y) d\lambda$$

which in homework 6, we found as A ,

$$\left\{ \lambda : 2(\gamma - \beta) \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}} < \lambda < 2(\gamma - \beta) \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\}.$$

5) We need to find a $1-\alpha$ Bayes HPD for σ^2 based on s^2 , using the prior $\sigma^2 \sim IG(\alpha, \beta)$.

$$\Rightarrow \pi(\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{\alpha+1}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\beta\sigma^2}\right\}, \quad 0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$$

We have that

$$\pi(s^2 | \sigma^2) = \frac{f(s^2 | \sigma^2) \pi(\sigma^2)}{m(s^2)}$$

Since $s^2 | \sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ with sample size n , then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(s^2 | \sigma^2) &\propto \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{n-1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{\alpha+1}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\beta\sigma^2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{n-1/2+\alpha+1}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\beta\sigma^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } s^2 | \sigma^2 \sim IG\left(\alpha + \frac{(n-1)}{2}, \left\{\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta}\right\}^{-1}\right)$$

(Result also found in homework 2, 6(a).)

This distribution is unimodal, so then we can apply Corollary 4.3.10, where the Bayes HPD is

given by

$$\Sigma : \left\{ \sigma^2 : L(\sigma^2) \leq \pi(\sigma^2 | s^2) \leq U(\sigma^2) \right\}$$

such that $L(\sigma^2) = a$, $U(\sigma^2) = b$ satisfies

$$\int_a^b \pi(\sigma^2 | s^2) d\sigma^2 = 1 - \alpha.$$

So then we want $\pi(a | s^2) = \pi(b | s^2)$, which implies

$$\Sigma : \left\{ \sigma^2 : \frac{1}{a^{(\frac{n-1}{2}+\alpha)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2a} + \frac{1}{\beta a}\right\} < \sigma^2 < \frac{1}{b^{(\frac{n-1}{2}+\alpha)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2b} + \frac{1}{\beta b}\right\} \right\}$$

is a Bayes HPD credible set for σ^2 .

b) $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, σ^2 known ($n=1$). Then we define the loss function

$$L(\theta, c) = b \text{Length}(C) - I_c(\theta) \Rightarrow I_c(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \theta \in c \\ 0 & \theta \notin c \end{cases}$$

a) To find the Risk function, you must find the expectation of $L(C, \theta)$. Let $C(x) = [x - c\sigma, x + c\sigma]$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[L(\mu, c)] &= bE[(x+c\sigma) - (x-c\sigma)] - E[I_c(\mu)] \\ &= b(2c\sigma) - P(x-c\sigma < \mu < x+c\sigma) \\ &= b2c\sigma - P\left(-c < \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} < c\right) \\ &= b2c\sigma - P(-c < Z < c). \end{aligned}$$

b) To find $\frac{\partial}{\partial c} R(\mu, c)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c} R(\mu, c) = \frac{\partial}{\partial c} (b2c\sigma) - \frac{\partial}{\partial c} \int_{-c}^c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz$$

by Fundamental Thm. of Calculus: $= 2b\sigma - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}$ * 2 in numerator comes from chain rule

c) Suppose $b\sigma > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c} R(\mu, c) = 2b\sigma - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}} > \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}\right)$$

where $\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) > 0$ and $0 < e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}} \leq 1 \forall c$. So then $\forall c$, the derivative is positive and hence maximized where $e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}$ is maximized, i.e., 0, as $\frac{\partial}{\partial c} R(\mu, c) = 0 @ c=0$.

This implies that the "best interval" estimator is the point estimate if the variance is sufficiently small.

d) To minimize the risk we set $\frac{d}{dc} R(\mu, c) = 0$

Suppose $b_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$. Then $\frac{d}{dc} R(\mu, c)$ is not strictly positive across $c \geq 0$, and so the value of c that minimizes $R(\mu, c)$ is derived as

$$0 \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} \frac{d}{dc} R(\mu, c) = 2b_0 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(b_0 \sqrt{2\pi}) = -\frac{c^2}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \sqrt{-2 \log(b_0 \sqrt{2\pi})}.$$

To show this is a minimum,

$$\frac{d^2}{dc^2} R(\mu, c) = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}, \text{ which is strictly}$$

positive across $c > 0$. So the c that minimizes $R(\mu, c)$ given $b_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ is

$$c = \sqrt{-2 \log(b_0 \sqrt{2\pi})}. \quad \text{QED.}$$