

Carson Slater

Dr. Harvill

STA 5353

Homework 6

1)  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  known. A 95% CI for  $\theta$  is  $\bar{x} \pm 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Let  $p$  denote the probability that an additional observation  $X_{n+1}$  will fall in this interval. Is  $p$  greater than, less than, or equal to 0.95?

So let  $C(\underline{x}) = (\bar{x} \pm 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$ . Since  $\sigma^2$  known, let  $\sigma^2 = 1$

WLOG. Given  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,

$$p = P(X_{n+1} \in \bar{x} \pm 1.96 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$$

$$= P(Z \in \frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{1} \pm 1.96 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$$

$$\leq P(Z \in 0 \pm 1.96 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$$

If  $n=1$ , then  $p = 0.95$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , then  $p < 0.95$ .

2) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli( $p$ ). Let  $T(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

Consider  $H_0: p = p_0$ , and  $H_1: p < p_0$ .

We have that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ . Here we

know  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $p$  based on the

Factorization Theorem, where  $f(\underline{x}|p) = g(p|\underline{x})h(\underline{x})$ , and

where  $g(p|\underline{x}) = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$  and  $h(\underline{x}) = \mathbb{I}_{[0,1]}(X_i)$ .

We also know the distribution of  $T(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has MLR.

For  $p_2 > p_1$ ,

$$\frac{g(t|p_2)}{g(t|p_1)} = \frac{\binom{n}{t} p_2^t (1-p_2)^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} p_1^t (1-p_1)^{n-t}}$$

$$= \left( \frac{p_2(1-p_1)}{p_1(1-p_2)} \right)^t \left( \frac{1-p_2}{1-p_1} \right)^n$$

Since  $0 < p_1 < p_2 < 1$ ,  $\frac{p_2}{p_1} > 1$  and  $\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_2} > 1$ , so  $\frac{g(t|p_2)}{g(t|p_1)}$

is increasing over all possible values of  $p$ . So the

binomial family has MLR. So then by the Karlin-

-Rubin Theorem, the test that will reject  $H_0$  if

$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i < k(p_0)$  is the UMP test of its size. Since

a Binomial R.V. is discrete, we want to choose a  $k$

such that it is closest to a level  $\alpha$  test. So

we define  $k(p_0)$  to be the integer between 0 and  $n$  that simultaneously satisfies.

$$P_T(p > k(p_0) | p) \geq 1 - \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_{k(p_0)}^n \binom{n}{t} p_0^t (1-p_0)^{n-t}$$

$$P_T(p > k(p_0) + 1 | p_0) < 1 - \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_{k(p_0)+1}^n \binom{n}{t} p_0^t (1-p_0)^{n-t}$$

Since  $k(p)$  is a step function with  $0 < p < 1$ , then  $k$  is nondecreasing, which implies it can be an upper bound on  $p$ . At each  $p_0$ , the region acceptance is  $A_0 = \{t : t \geq k(p_0)\}$ . So inserting the test, we get some  $p_0 \leq k^{-1}(t) | t$ , that satisfies the equations above. So then the  $1 - \alpha$  upper confidence bound is  $k(p_0)$ .

This implies  $C(t) = \{p_0 : t \geq k(p_0)\}$  which equals  $C(t) = \{p_0 : p \geq k^{-1}(t)\}$ . So  $U(x) = k^{-1}(t)$ .

$$C(x) = \{p : T(x) \geq k(p)\}$$

$$k^{-1}(t) = \inf \left\{ p : \sum_{y=t}^n \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}, y \geq 1 - \alpha \right\}.$$

3) We are given  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ . Consider the hypotheses

$$H_0: p = p_0 \text{ versus } H_1: p \neq p_0.$$

The LRT statistic for  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(p)$  is

$$\lambda(y) = \frac{\binom{n}{y} p_0^y (1-p_0)^{n-y}}{\binom{n}{y} \hat{p}^y (1-\hat{p})^{n-y}} = \left( \frac{p_0(1-\hat{p})}{\hat{p}(1-p_0)} \right)^y \left( \frac{1-p_0}{1-\hat{p}} \right)^n$$

where in Homework 3, exercise 6, we found the MLE of  $p$  to be  $\hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n}$ . So then the acceptance region is  $A(p_0) = \{ \hat{p} : \lambda(\hat{p}) > k \}$ .

$$A(p_0) = \left\{ y : \left( \frac{p_0(1-\hat{p})}{\hat{p}(1-p_0)} \right)^y \left( \frac{1-p_0}{1-\hat{p}} \right)^n \geq k \right\}$$

such that  $k$  satisfies  $P(Y \in A(p_0)) \geq 1 - \alpha$

Then we have that we can invert this to a confidence set.

$$C(y) = \left\{ p : \left( \frac{p(1-\hat{p})}{\hat{p}(1-p)} \right)^y \left( \frac{1-p}{1-\hat{p}} \right)^n \geq k \right\}.$$

This  $1 - \alpha$  confidence set depends on  $\underline{X}$

only through  $\hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n} = \bar{X}$ .

4) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known.

a) Consider  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ . Finding the level  $\alpha$  acceptance region, we have that the LRT from Homework 5, problems 2(c) and 2(d), the UMP test that rejects  $H_0$  is  $\bar{X} - \theta_0 \geq z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  (via Karlin-Rubin). So the acceptance region is  $A_\alpha = \{ \underline{x}: \bar{x} - \theta_0 \leq z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \}$  for a level  $\alpha$  test, which when inverted yields a  $1 - \alpha$

Confidence region

Check #4

$$C(\theta) = \{ \theta: \theta \leq \bar{x} - z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \}.$$

$z_\alpha$  is upper tail notation.

b) Consider  $H_0: \theta \geq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta < \theta_0$ . The LRT is the test that rejects  $H_0$  when  $\bar{X} - \theta_0 < -z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ , so the acceptance region would be

$$A(\theta_0) = \{ \underline{x}: \bar{x} - \theta_0 \geq -z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \}.$$

Inverting the acceptance region yields the confidence set  $C(\theta) = \{ \theta: \theta \geq \bar{x} + z_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \}$ .

$$\alpha \geq P(|\bar{X} - \theta| > k) = 1 - \alpha P_0(|\bar{X} - \theta| \leq k).$$

c) Finally, consider the test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

From Homework 5, problem 2(c), we found the UMP test to be the test that rejected

$H_0$  if  $|\bar{X} - \theta_0| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ . So the acceptance region is  $A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \bar{X} : -z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X} - \theta_0 \leq z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$

for a level  $\alpha$  test and inverting this yields

a  $1 - \alpha$  Confidence region.

$$C(\theta) = \left\{ \theta : \bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \theta \leq \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}. \quad \square$$

5) To show that the quantities in the table are pivots, we need to show their pdfs are independent of their parameters.

Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be iid with pdf  $f(y)$ .

**Location**  $\{f(x-\mu) = f(y) \rightarrow f(x) = f(y+\mu)\}$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x-\mu)$ , then  $X_i = Y_i + \mu$ .

So then  $\bar{X} - \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i + \mu) - \mu = \bar{Y}$ . So the distribution of  $\bar{Y}$  does not depend on  $\mu$ .

**Scale**  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) = f(y) \rightarrow f(x) = \sigma f(\sigma y) \right\}$

Now let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)$ , then  $X_i = \sigma Y_i$ .

So then  $\frac{\bar{X}}{\sigma} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n\sigma} = \frac{\sum \sigma Y_i}{n\sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum Y_i = \bar{Y}$ . So then

the distribution of  $\bar{Y}$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ .

**Location-Scale**

Finally, let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$ , then  $X_i = \sigma Y_i + \mu$ .

So then  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S_x} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma Y_i + \mu) - \mu}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\sigma Y_i + \mu) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma Y_i + \mu))^2} = \frac{\sigma \bar{Y}}{\frac{\sigma}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n ((Y_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i))^2}$

$= \frac{\bar{Y}}{S_y}$ . The distribution of  $\frac{\bar{Y}}{S_y}$  does

not depend on  $\mu$  or  $\sigma$ , and is a pivotal quantity.

(c) Given  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have CDF

$$P(X_i \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^\alpha & \text{if } 0 < x < \beta \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq \beta \end{cases}$$

a) The PDF would be  $f_x(x|\beta) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} I_{(0,\beta)}(x)$ .

To show  $f_x(x|\beta)$  is a scale family, we must show

$f_x(x|\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} f_{\frac{x}{\beta}}\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)$ . Here we have, by Method of transformations

Let  $Y = \frac{X}{\beta}$

$$f_x(\beta y) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta y}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} \beta I_{(0,\beta)}(\beta y) = \frac{1}{\beta} \alpha \left(\frac{\beta y}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} \beta I_{(0,1)}(y) = \alpha y^{\alpha-1} \quad 0 < y < 1.$$

So substiting in  $\frac{1}{\beta} f_y\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)$  this shows it is a scale family.

b) From homework 2 problem 3(b), we found the MLE of  $\beta$  to be  $\hat{\beta} = X_{(n)}$ , the max order statistic.

Using our result from (5), since  $f_x(x|\beta)$  is a scale family, with  $\beta$  as the scaling parameter,

then  $\frac{X_{(n)}}{\beta}$  is going to be our pivot. Then our 1-j

CI is 95% so  $j$  is 0.05. We know

↑  
gamma

So then, let  $c$  be the 95<sup>th</sup> quantile of  $f$ .

$$j = 0.05 = P_{\beta} \left( \frac{X_{(n)}}{\beta} \leq c \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\beta} (X_i \leq c\beta) = \left( \frac{c\beta}{\beta} \right)^{\alpha n} = c^{\alpha n}.$$

↑  
gamma

Because we are finding an upper confidence limit for  $\beta$  from jpdf of  $X_i$  (iid)

So then  $c = 0.05^{1/\alpha n}$ . We know that  $0.05 = P\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{\beta} \leq c\right)$ ,

which implies  $0.95 = P\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{\beta} > c\right) = P\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{0.05^{1/\alpha n}} > \beta\right)$ . So

then  $\left\{ \beta: \beta < \frac{X_{(n)}}{0.05^{1/\alpha n}} \right\}$  is a 95% upper CI for  $\beta$ ,  
assuming  $\alpha$  is known.

7) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sequence of  $n$  Bernoulli( $p$ ) trials. Calculate a  $1-\alpha$  credible set using conjugate prior  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

The prior  $\pi(p) \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ . So then let  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = Y$  where  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y, p) &= \binom{n}{Y} p^Y (1-p)^{n-Y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1} \\ &= \binom{n}{Y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{Y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-Y+\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(Y) &= \int_0^1 f(Y, p) dp = \int_0^1 \binom{n}{Y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{Y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-Y+\beta-1} dp \\ &= \binom{n}{Y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(Y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-Y-\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(Y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-Y-\beta)} p^{Y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-Y+\beta-1} dp \\ &= \binom{n}{Y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(Y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-Y-\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi(p|Y) = \frac{f(Y, p)}{f(Y)} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(Y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-Y-\beta)} p^{Y+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-Y+\beta-1}$$

So  $p|Y \sim \text{Beta}(Y+\alpha, n-Y+\beta)$ .

Then a 95% credible set for  $p$  is

$$\left\{ p : \text{Beta}_{Y+\alpha, n-Y+\beta, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \leq p \leq \text{Beta}_{Y+\alpha, n-Y+\beta, \frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\}.$$

(Upper tail notation)

8) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , where  $\pi(\lambda) \sim \text{IG}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$\pi(\lambda | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha+1} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta\lambda}}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \infty$$

So then  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = Y \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y, \lambda | \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\lambda^n}{\Gamma(n)} Y^{n-1} e^{-\lambda Y} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha+1} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} Y^{n-1} e^{-\lambda Y} \left(\lambda\right)^{n-\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(Y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{Y^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-n+1} e^{-\lambda(Y-\frac{1}{\beta})} d\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{Y^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)}{\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-n+1}} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \frac{\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \lambda^{\alpha-n+1} e^{-\lambda(Y-\frac{1}{\beta})} d\lambda}_{\text{Gamma PDF}} \\ &= \frac{Y^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)}{\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \pi(\lambda | Y, \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} Y^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-n+1} e^{-\lambda(Y-\frac{1}{\beta})}}{\frac{Y^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)}{\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-n+1}}} \\ &= \frac{\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-n+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \lambda^{\alpha-n+1} e^{-\lambda\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

So then  $\lambda | Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha-n+1, Y-\frac{1}{\beta})$ . Consider how

$\frac{\lambda}{2\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)} \sim \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1}$ . So then a  $1-\alpha$  credible set is

$$\left\{ \lambda : 2\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}} < \lambda < 2\left(Y-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \chi^2_{\alpha-n+1, \frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\}.$$