



Homework 1

1.

Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ such that $f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ belongs to an exponential family, given by

$$f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x) \right\},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d), d \leq k$. By the factorization theorem, a statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ if and only if there exists function $g(t|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $h(\mathbf{x})$ such that, for all sample points \mathbf{x} and parameter points $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\boldsymbol{\theta})h(\mathbf{x})$. Let $f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ be the joint distribution of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . We then have, by properties of independent random variables,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \prod_{j=1}^n f(x_j|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n h(x_j)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x_j) \right\} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n h(x_j) \right) (c(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^n \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{j=1}^n t_i(x_j) \right\} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n h(x_j) \right) (c(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^n \exp \left\{ w_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{j=1}^n t_1(x_j) \right\} \dots \exp \left\{ w_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(x_j) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\boldsymbol{\theta})h(\mathbf{x})$ where,

$$\begin{cases} h(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^n h(x_j) \\ g(T(\mathbf{x})|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (c(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^n \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{j=1}^n t_i(x_j) \right\}, \end{cases}$$

which yields

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \sum_{j=1}^n t_2(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$$

as a sufficient statistic for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ by the factorization method.



2.

a.

Let $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be the result of $N = n$ independent, identically distributed Bernoulli(θ) trials, and let $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. We then know that the PMF of the sum of n Bernoulli(θ) trials can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P(T = t) &= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t\right) \\ &= \sum_{\text{all combinations}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n) \quad (\text{since } X_i\text{'s IID}) \\ &= \binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-x_i} \\ &= \binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \theta^{\sum x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum x_i} \\ &= \binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t} \\ &= f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \end{aligned}$$

So $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$ Using the ratio of two sample points, \mathbf{x} , and \mathbf{y} , where $t_{\mathbf{x}} = T(\mathbf{X})$ and $t_{\mathbf{y}} = T(\mathbf{Y})$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(t_{\mathbf{x}}|\theta)}{f(t_{\mathbf{y}}|\theta)} &= \frac{\binom{n_1}{t_{\mathbf{x}}} \theta^{t_{\mathbf{x}}} (1 - \theta)^{n_1 - t_{\mathbf{x}}}}{\binom{n_2}{t_{\mathbf{y}}} \theta^{t_{\mathbf{y}}} (1 - \theta)^{n_2 - t_{\mathbf{y}}}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{n_1}{t_{\mathbf{x}}}}{\binom{n_2}{t_{\mathbf{y}}}} \theta^{t_{\mathbf{x}} - t_{\mathbf{y}}} (1 - \theta)^{(n_1 - n_2) - (t_{\mathbf{x}} - t_{\mathbf{y}})}. \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{f(t_{\mathbf{x}}|\theta)}{f(t_{\mathbf{y}}|\theta)}$ is constant as a function with respect to θ if $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{Y})$ and $n_1 = n_2$. So then the pair (X, N) is minimally sufficient. Also, the distribution of N is not contingent on θ , as the distribution of N is for some probability p_i at each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, N is an ancillary statistic for θ .



b.

To show that $\frac{X}{N}$ is unbiased, we can find

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{X}{N}\right] &= \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{x}{n} p_j \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x} \\ &= \sum_j \frac{p_j}{n} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \binom{n}{x_i} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x_i}}_{E[X|N=n]=n\theta} \\ &= \sum_j \frac{p_j}{n} n\theta \\ &= \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left[\frac{X}{N}\right] &= E\left[\left(\frac{X}{N}\right)^2\right] - E\left[\frac{X}{N}\right]^2 \\ &= \sum_j \frac{p_j}{n^2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \binom{n}{x_i} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x_i}}_{\text{Var}[X|N]+E[X|N]^2} - \theta^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p_j}{n^2} (n\theta(1-\theta))\right) - \theta^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_j \theta(1-\theta)}{n} + \theta^2 - \theta^2 \\ &= E[N^{-1}] \theta(1-\theta). \end{aligned}$$



3.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, a\theta^2)$ a is known and constant, and $\theta > 0$.

a.

We have that this family of distributions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a\theta^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2a\theta^2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a\theta^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-x^2 + 2x\theta - \theta^2}{2a\theta^2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}\theta} \exp\left\{\frac{-x^2}{2a\theta^2} + \frac{x}{a\theta} - \frac{1}{2a}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We can write this normal family as an exponential family, denoting the following components,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} I_{(-\infty, \infty)}(x) & c(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a\theta}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2a}\right\} \\ t_1(x) &= -\frac{x^2}{2} & w_1(\theta) &= \frac{1}{a\theta^2} \\ t_2(x) &= x & w_2(\theta) &= \frac{1}{a\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we observe that the functions w_1 and w_2 are both only functions of vectors that have one element, which is θ (one-dimensional). This shows that the parameter space does not contain a two-dimensional open set, but rather a one-dimensional open set where $\theta > 0$.

b.

By the factorization theorem, we can show that $T(\mathbf{X}) = (n\bar{x}, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . This is because the joint density function of \mathbf{X} is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}\theta} \exp\left\{-\frac{x_i^2}{2a\theta^2} + \frac{x_i}{a\theta} - \frac{1}{2a}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}\theta} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2a\theta^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{a\theta} - \frac{n}{2a}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and those are the functions of x that are inseparable from θ . We also know that no sufficient statistic is unique, and that any one-to-one function of a sufficient statistic is also sufficient. Let one-to-one function

$$\mathbf{g}(T(\mathbf{X})) = \left(\frac{t_1(\mathbf{X})}{n}, \frac{t_2(\mathbf{X}) - 2t_1(\mathbf{X})^2 + t_1(\mathbf{X})^2/n}{n-1} \right),$$

where t_1 and t_2 are the respective elements of statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$. Because sufficient statistics are not unique, and that one-to-one functions of sufficient statistics are sufficient, we have that $\mathbf{g}(T(\mathbf{X})) = (\bar{X}, S^2)$. So \bar{X} and S^2 are sufficient statistics for θ .



Name: Carson Slater
Course: Theory of Statistics 2
Instructor: Dr. Harvill

To show that (\bar{X}, S^2) are not complete, we let $h(\cdot)$ be such that $E[h(T)] = 0 \forall \theta > 0$ and for all $T(\mathbf{X})$, which would imply $P(h(x) = 0) = 1$. Now suppose, for contradiction, that $h(T(\mathbf{X})) = \frac{n\bar{x}^2}{a+n} - \frac{S^2}{a}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{n\bar{x}^2}{a+n} - \frac{S^2}{a}\right] &= \frac{n}{a+n}E[\bar{x}^2] - \frac{1}{a}E[S^2] \\ &= \frac{n}{a+n}(\text{Var}[\bar{x}] + E[\bar{x}]^2) - \frac{\sigma^2}{a(n-1)}E\left[\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{n}{a+n}(a\theta^2 + \theta^2) - \frac{a(n-1)\theta^2}{a(n-1)} \quad \left(\text{Because } \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2\right) \\ &= \frac{\theta^2 n}{a+n}(a+1) - \theta^2 \\ &= \theta^2 - \theta^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists an $h(\cdot)$ where $E\left[\frac{n\bar{x}^2}{a+n} - \frac{S^2}{a}\right] = 0$, but $E[h(T(\mathbf{X}))] = 0$ only here. So because $E[h(T(\mathbf{X}))] = 0$ does not hold for all values of $\theta > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $T(\mathbf{X})$ is not a complete family with respect to θ .



4.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be IID with a Geometric(θ) distribution. Using the factorization theorem, we can find a sufficient statistic for θ . Using the factorization theorem, we can show that $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . We have it that the joint PMF of X is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(1-\theta)^{x_i-1} \\ &= \theta^n (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n} \\ &= \theta^n \frac{(1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{(1-\theta)^n}. \\ \implies f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(\mathbf{x}) = I_{(1,2,\dots)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta) = \theta^n \frac{(1-\theta)^{T(\mathbf{X})}}{(1-\theta)^n} = \frac{\theta^n}{(1-\theta)^n} (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$. So $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ by the factorization theorem.

To find a family of distributions for $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, we can also rewrite the distribution function for $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ Geometric(θ) as,

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1}$$

So this joint PDF is an exponential family, and be written using the following components,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= I_{(-\infty, \infty)}(\mathbf{x}) & c(\theta) &= \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right) \\ t(x) &= x & w(\theta) &= \ln(1-\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since the family is an exponential family, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, where $d = k = 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a complete family.



5.

Consider the following distributions, where $X = 0, 1, 2$ is a random variable.

	$P(X = 0)$	$P(X = 1)$	$P(X = 2)$	
Distribution 1	p	$3p$	$1 - 4p$	$0 < p < 0.25$
Distribution 2	p	p^2	$1 - p - p^2$	$0 < p < 0.5$

To show that the family of distributions of X is complete, let $T(\mathbf{X}) = X$ be a sufficient statistic for parameter p . Consider distribution 1. Let $g_1(x)$ be any function of X such that at each $X = 0, 1, 2$, $E_p[g_1(x)|p] = 0$ for all $0 < p < 0.25$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E_p[g_1(x)|p] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^2 g_1(x_i)P(x_i) \\ &= g_1(0)p + g_1(1)3p + g_1(2)(1 - 4p) \\ \iff 0 &= p(g_1(0) + 3g_1(1) + 4g_1(2)) - g_1(2). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $p > 0$, but $g_1(x)$ does not have to equal zero at each X for all p . A counterexample would be if $g_1(0) = 1$, $g_1(1) = -\frac{1}{3}$, and $g_1(2) = 0$. Hence, this family of distributions is not complete, as $P(g_1(x) = 0) \neq 1$.

Now consider distribution 2. Let $g_2(x)$ be any function of X such that at each $X = 0, 1, 2$, $E_p[g_2(x)|p] = 0$ for all $0 < p < 0.5$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E_p[g_2(x)|p] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^2 g_2(x_i)P(x_i) \\ &= (g_2(1) - g_2(2))p^2 + (g_2(0) - g_2(2))p + g_2(2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < p < 0.5$, the only way this equality would be true is if $g_2(0) = g_2(1) = g_2(2) = 0 \implies P(g_2(x) = 0) = 1$. Hence, distribution 2 is a complete distribution, with X as a sufficient statistic for p .



6.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be an IID random sample from the PDF $f(x|\mu) = e^{-(x-\mu)}$.

a.

Let $Y = T(\mathbf{x}) = X_{(1)} = \min(X_i)$. By properties of order statistics, we have it that the PDF of Y is

$$\begin{aligned} f(y|\mu) &= n \left(1 - e^\mu \int_\mu^y \frac{1}{e^t} dt \right)^{n-1} e^{\mu-y} \\ &= ne^{n(\mu-y)} \quad -\infty < \mu < y < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which cannot be written as an exponential family, as the support is a function of the parameter μ . Instead, using the factorization theorem, we can write $f(y|\mu) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\mu)h(\mathbf{x})$, where

$$g(T(\mathbf{x})|\mu) = ne^{n(\mu-y)} I_{(\mu, \infty)}(\min(X_i)) \quad h(\mathbf{x}) = 1.$$

Hence $X_{(1)}$ is a sufficient statistic for μ .

Now let $g : \langle \mu, \infty \rangle \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $E[g(Y)] = 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. This also implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E[g(Y)] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} E[g(Y)] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_\mu^\infty g(y) e^{n(\mu-y)} dy \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} = ne^{n\mu}, \text{ then divide by } n \text{ on both sides.} \right) \\ &= e^{n\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_\mu^\infty g(y) e^{-ny} dy + \underbrace{e^{n\mu} \int_\mu^\infty g(y) e^{-ny} dy}_{(\text{Recall } E[g(y)]=0)} \\ &= e^{n\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_\mu^\infty g(y) e^{-ny} dy \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $t = -y$ and $dt = -dy$, we can rewrite the integral as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e^{n\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{-\mu} g(-t) e^{nt} dt. \\ &= (e^{n\mu}) g(-t) (e^{-\mu n}) \quad \left(\text{By Fundamental Thm. of Calculus, also divided by } -\frac{1}{n} \text{ on both sides.} \right) \\ &= g(y). \quad (\text{Substituted back } y = -t) \end{aligned}$$

So $g(y)$ must be equal to zero for all $\mu < y < \infty$ and $-\infty < \mu < \infty$. Therefore $Y = X_{(1)}$ is a complete statistic for μ .



b.

We have that $X_{(1)}$ is a complete sufficient statistic, but we can use the ratio of $f(\mathbf{x}|\mu)$ and $f(\mathbf{y}|\mu)$, where \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are random samples, to show $X_{(1)}$ is a complete minimally sufficient statistic using Bahadur's Theorem. To show a minimal sufficient statistic exists we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\mu)}{f(\mathbf{y}|\mu)} &= \frac{\exp\{n\mu - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\}}{\exp\{n\mu - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\}} \\ &= \frac{\exp\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\}}{\exp\{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\}} \end{aligned}$$

Which implies a minimal sufficient statistic exists, since $\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\mu)}{f(\mathbf{y}|\mu)}$ is constant as a function of μ when $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ for $T(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} = T(\mathbf{Y})$. So based on Bahadur's Theorem, since a minimally sufficient statistic exists, we know $X_{(1)}$ is a complete, minimally sufficient statistic. Now consider S^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu) - (\bar{x} - \mu)]^2 \quad (\text{Adding a 'well chosen' } \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (z - \bar{z})^2 \quad (\text{Let } z = x_i - \mu) \end{aligned}$$

Since z and \bar{z} have sampling distributions that do not depend on μ , we can say that S^2 is ancillary for μ . Therefore, by Basu's Theorem, $X_{(1)}$ and S^2 are independent.



7.

Let T_1 be sufficient and T_2 be a minimally sufficient statistic for θ . Also, let U be an unbiased estimate of θ . Also, let $U_1 = E[U|T_1]$ and $U_2 = E[U|T_2]$.

a.

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= E[U|T_2] \\ &= E[E[U_1|T_1]|T_2] \\ &= E[E[U_1|T_2]|T_1] \quad (T_1 \text{ is a function of } T_2, \text{ so if } T_2 \text{ is given, } T_1 \text{ is known.}) \\ &= E[U_1|T_2]. \quad (\text{By definition of } U_1) \end{aligned}$$

b.

Using formulas for conditional variance, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[U_1] &= \text{Var}(E[U_1|T_2]) + \underbrace{E[\text{Var}(U_1|T_2)]}_{\text{Always (+)}} \\ &\geq \text{Var}(E[U_1|T_2]) \\ &= \text{Var}(E[E[U_1|T_1]|T_2]) \\ &= \text{Var}[U_2]. \end{aligned}$$