

# STA 6352, Report 8.7

Carson Slater *Baylor University*

## Problem: Processionary Caterpillars

The pine processionary caterpillar (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa*), so named because of their head-to-tail processions when moving from an old nest to establish a new one, have larvae that are a major pest in pine forests. Photos of these caterpillars appear below.<sup>1</sup>

Data from a 1973 study of processionary caterpillars was published and analyzed in Tomassone et al. (1993).<sup>2</sup>

The response variable is the log of the average number of caterpillar nests per tree in a 500m<sup>2</sup> area. The authors considered  $k = 10$  potential explanatory variables, for  $n = 33$  areas as listed below:

- $x_1$ : altitude
- $x_2$ : slope (in degrees)
- $x_3$ : number of pines in the area
- $x_4$ : height of the central tree
- $x_5$ : diameter of the central tree
- $x_6$ : index of the settlement density
- $x_7$ : orientation of the area (from 1 [southbound] to 2)
- $x_8$ : height of the dominant tree
- $x_9$ : number of vegetation strata
- $x_{10}$ : mix settlement index (from 1 if not mixed to 2 if mixed)

The goal is to choose a subset of these variables as predictors. Variable selection is a classic—perhaps *the* classic—model choice problem.

---

<sup>1</sup>Adapted from Marin and Robert, Chapter 3. Online resources available at: <https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~xian/BCS/>

<sup>2</sup>Tomassone, R., Dervin, C., and Masson, J. (1993). \*Biométrie: Modélisation de Phénomènes Biologiques\*. Masson, Paris.

Here is the traditional (non-Bayesian analysis):

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI <sup>1</sup>	p-value
x1	0.00	0.00, 0.00	0.015
x2	-0.04	-0.07, 0.00	0.025
x3	0.04	-0.01, 0.10	0.11
x4	-0.03	-0.42, 0.37	0.9
x5	-0.63	-1.8, 0.55	0.3
x6	0.01	-0.29, 0.31	>0.9
x7	-1.1	-2.2, -0.06	0.040
x8	-0.23	-1.1, 0.65	0.6

<sup>1</sup>CI = Confidence Interval

Now we apply the conjugate prior analysis, but assuming little or no prior information about  $\tilde{\beta}$ ,  $\mathbf{M}$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$ . For the sake of illustration, take  $a = 2.1$  and  $b = 2$ , yielding a prior mean and variance of 33.06 and 1.82, respectively, for  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $\tilde{\beta} = \mathbf{0}_{k+1}$ . There remains the problem of choosing  $\mathbf{M}$ . Suppose we take  $\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{g}\mathbf{I}_{k+1}$ . Here are the results when we take  $g = 1000$ :

	Parameter	Posterior_Mean	Posterior_Variance
beta[1]	x1	6.9001	3.2429
beta[2]	x2	-0.0024	0.0000
beta[3]	x3	-0.0330	0.0002
beta[4]	x4	0.0059	0.0033
beta[5]	x5	-0.4694	0.1194
beta[6]	x6	0.1063	0.0041
beta[7]	x7	0.2710	0.7700
beta[8]	x8	-0.1817	0.4264
beta[9]	x9	0.0206	0.0220
beta[10]	x10	-0.8238	0.2982
beta[11]	x11	-0.1540	0.2022

	Parameter	Lower_HPD	Upper_HPD	Contains_Zero
beta[1]	x1	3.2786	10.8210	FALSE
beta[2]	x2	-0.0047	-0.0004	FALSE
beta[3]	x3	-0.0604	-0.0055	FALSE
beta[4]	x4	-0.1044	0.1331	TRUE
beta[5]	x5	-1.1139	0.0573	TRUE
beta[6]	x6	0.0178	0.2476	FALSE
beta[7]	x7	-1.7724	1.5128	TRUE
beta[8]	x8	-1.5882	1.0310	TRUE
beta[9]	x9	-0.2017	0.3092	TRUE
beta[10]	x10	-1.6726	0.2560	TRUE

---

	Parameter	Lower_HPD	Upper_HPD	Contains_Zero
beta[11]	x11	-1.0552	0.7197	TRUE

---